

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	SB0223			Title:	Revise ha	nte crimes law	
7.	I			la I			
Primary Sponsor:	Juneau, Carol C			Status:	As Introd	luced	
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact		☐ Need	Needs to be included in HB 2			Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in	☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts			Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
		FY 202	ISCAL SUN	MMARY FY 2011	7	FY 2012	FY 2013
		Differen	nce l	Difference	2	Difference	Difference
Expenditures:							
General Fund		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Revenue:							
General Fund			\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance			\$0	•	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> This bill has no fiscal impact to the state.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. There have been 5 offenders sentenced under 45-5-221, MCA, since 2001. Of these 5 offenders only 2 entered the prison facility on the maximum 5 year sentence. The other 3 received either a deferred or suspended sentence. Although this bill would expand the number of people protected by the crime of malicious intimidation or harassment relating to civil or human rights, the length of stay for the offender would be reduced, therefore causing no fiscal impact to the Department of Corrections.
- 2. It is assumed that the cost of the victim-offender mediation and family group conferencing expense are not the responsibility of the Judicial Branch.
- 3. The number of district court criminal cases may increase as a result of these new offences. District Court workload may increase but the Judicial Branch is unable to estimate the impact on judicial workload or the fiscal impact. The cumulative impact of such legislation may over a period of time require additional judicial resources since the court dockets are already full throughout the state.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date